Monday, June 9th, 2025

Stops The Press!

Written by:
Galen Stops, Business Enablement Manager, 360T
Karina Smith, Sales Enablement Associate, 360T

Top Stories from FX this Week

- What Does Dynamic FX Hedging Mean For Corporate Treasurers?
- Buy-Side Must Prioritise Needs Before Wants When it Comes to Data
- Are We Too Dependent on This FX Benchmark?

[What Does Dynamic FX Hedging Mean For Corporate Treasurers?]

In a nutshell
Highlights the increasing importance of dynamic FX hedging for corporate treasurers in a volatile currency market.

Why we think this story is interesting

This article argues that, as global uncertainties grow, companies must adopt more responsive and flexible approaches to foreign exchange risk management. Dynamic FX hedging involves adjusting hedge ratios and strategies based on current market conditions, risk tolerance, and business needs. This, according to the article, allows treasurers to better align their currency risk management with real-time exposure and shifting financial forecasts.

It indicates that this strategy helps reduce over- or under-hedging by integrating real-time data and automation tools. The piece suggests that this automation is important because it helps ensure more accurate and timely hedging decisions, helping firms respond quickly to currency swings. The article also highlights the potential for cost optimisation, as dynamic hedging avoids unnecessary hedges and leverages market opportunities.

However, the article states that there are several challenges to consider associated with implementing dynamic FX hedging. It requires sophisticated systems, skilled personnel, and constant monitoring, which may not be feasible for every treasury team. The article warns that without proper tools, there's a risk of over-trading or relying too heavily on market timing, which can increase costs or exposure.

Notably, the article emphasizes that a tailored strategy - aligned with a company's broader goals and risk appetite - is essential. It is also interesting that the article suggests many treasury teams struggle to align their objectives with those of the wider business, which can undermine the effectiveness of any FX strategy.

Essentially, the article makes the case that while dynamic FX hedging introduces complexity, it provides valuable adaptability and cost control in today's fast-changing financial environment. The article itself is a timely one as treasurers across the globe are having to consider whether or not to adjust their hedging practices in response to heightened FX market volatility.

→ Can we help you with additional insight regarding these themes? If so, please don't hesitate to get in contact.

Buy-Side Must Prioritise Needs Before Wants When it Comes to Data

In a nutshell
An interview with Simon Hordum Bonde, head of trading quants at Nordea Asset Management.

Why we think this story is interesting

As we're constantly being told, data is becoming an ever increasingly important part of the trading process. That's why this article is particularly interesting, because it provides a buy-side perspective on data consolidation, the importance of accessing high quality data, and the impact of third-party data. It's not an FX-specific discussion, but nevertheless holds important lessons for our asset class.

For example, during the interview, Bonde highlights the importance of starting with a clear understanding of business objectives and technical capabilities before attempting to consolidate transaction data. He also indicates that defining whether the focus is on a single asset class or a more complex, cross-asset strategy can considerably influence the data requirements and potential difficulties. He stresses the need to differentiate between essential capabilities and those that are simply good to have, noting that prioritising real-time data may be unnecessary for certain workflows.

Bonde also points out the ongoing cost and effort associated with maintaining high-quality data pipelines, pointing out that third-party providers offer a cost-effective entry point for accessing necessary data. Notably, he emphasizes the value of combining internal and external data sources to improve decision-making across the trade lifecycle. It is important to point out that third-party data can enhance transaction cost analysis and help firms better benchmark execution quality, which in turn strengthens relationships with brokers.

A significant takeaway from this discussion is the emphasis on data visibility and accessibility. It highlights that democratising data within an organisation leads to improved quality through broader usage and earlier issue detection. Bonde also notes that although external vendors promote data normalisation, internal verification and adjustments are still required. This supports the argument for maintaining simpler pipelines and fewer data providers.

→ Can we help you with additional insight regarding these themes? If so, please don't hesitate to get in contact.

Are We Too Dependent on This FX Benchmark?

In a nutshell
Analyses the effectiveness of the WMR as a benchmark for FX trading.

Why we think this story is interesting

This article raises big questions about the financial industry's reliance on the WMR FX benchmark rates, suggesting that the global dependence on this system may come with hidden risks.

The article points out that WMR has long been considered the “de facto standard” for closing foreign exchange rates, providing essential spot and forward prices used by traders, investment managers, and accountants worldwide. However, the article highlights past manipulation scandals, and a recent system failure as causes for concern.

Notably, the article states that between 2008 and 2013, several major banks manipulated the WMR 4 pm fix to their advantage, leading to billions in fines and a loss of trust. While reforms followed, including extending the rate calculation window and tightening oversight, the article indicates that doubts remain about whether these measures are sufficient.

It is also important to point out that some in the industry now argue for a longer trading window and question whether the fix aligns with best execution standards.

The article also highlights a significant event on April 3, 2025, when a system failure delayed publication of the WMR rates. Although WMR acted quickly and followed protocol, this incident exposed the operational risk tied to relying heavily on a single benchmark provider.

This is noteworthy because it shows even rare disruptions can have wide-reaching effects. The article concludes that while WMR continues to play a critical role, firms should not depend solely on it. The article suggests the industry consider alternative solutions and governance models, particularly as faster settlement cycles challenge the practicality of waiting for end-of-day rates. This is an important discussion to have since it touches on the balance between operational efficiency and benchmark reliability in today's FX markets.

→ Can we help you with additional insight regarding these themes? If so, please don't hesitate to get in contact.